IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
V.)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:12MC64
)	
BRAD D. ELLISON,)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE ON PETITION TO ENFORCE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS

This matter came before the Court on January 24, 2013, on the Petition of the United States of America, on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service, under the authority of 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a), to judicially enforce an Internal Revenue Summons.

Joan B. Binkley, Assistant United States Attorney, and Revenue Officer Teresa Driver appeared on behalf of the Petitioner, United States of America. Respondent Brad D. Ellison appeared *pro se*.

The United States tendered the Declaration of Revenue Officer Cynthia D. Cooke who is a Revenue Officer of the Internal Revenue Service, employed in the Small Business/Self-Employed Division of the Internal Revenue Service located at Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and is authorized to issue Internal Revenue Service summonses pursuant to the authority contained in 26 U.S.C. § 7602, and Treasury Regulations, 26 C.F.R. § 301.7602-1.

Based on that Declaration, the Court found that the Government made a prima facie showing that:

- 1) An investigation is being conducted by Revenue Officer Cynthia D. Cooke pursuant to a legitimate purpose; specifically that the summons was issued for the legitimate purpose of investigating the federal income tax liability of Brad D. Ellison for the calendar year ended 12/31/2010, as set forth in the Declaration of Revenue Officer Cynthia D. Cooke attached to the Petition;
 - 2) The inquiry made is relevant to the legitimate purpose;
- 3) The information sought is not already within the IRS's possession and the Respondent, Brad D. Ellison, is believed to be in possession and control of testimony and documents concerning the above-described investigation; and
- 4) The administrative steps required by the Code have been followed. See United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964); Alphin v. United States, 809 F.2d 236, 238 (4th Cir.1987).

The United States Marshals Service personally served Brad D. Ellison with a copy of the Petition on December 19, 2012. The Respondent did not file or serve a written response to the Petition nor did he make any motions in response. At the hearing, Respondent Ellison appeared and agreed to the entry of a Recommendation and Order requiring him to appear and produce for examination the books, papers, records or other data provided in the summons, as set out below.

In addition, at the hearing, Petitioner confirmed that there is no Department of Justice referral in effect with respect to the Respondent under investigation, as that term is defined in Title 26 U.S.C. § 7602(d).

Based on these determinations, this Court recommends that the Petition by the

United States of America be granted and that Respondent Ellison be ordered to appear

and produce for examination the books, papers, records or other data as provided in the

summons.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED that the Petition [Doc. #1] be

GRANTED and that an Order be entered compelling Respondent Brad D. Ellison to obey

the Internal Revenue Service Summons served on him on May 3, 2012, by appearing on

March 14, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., before Revenue Officer Teresa Driver, at 1677 Westbrook

Plaza Drive, Suite 110, Winston-Salem, NC 27103, to testify and to produce for

examination documents and records as described in the Summons.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the United States Marshal for this District serve

a copy of this Order personally upon Brad D. Ellison, within 14 days of the date of this

Order.

This, the 25th day of January, 2013.

/s/ Joi Elizabeth Peake

United States Magistrate Judge

3